Mark Scheme

## June 2016 Results

Pearson LCCI (ASE20098) Level 3 Management Accounting

## LCCI Qualifications

LCCI qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information, please visit our website at www.lcci.org.uk.

## Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

All the material in this publication is copyright
Publication code: 49731_ms
© Pearson Education Ltd 2016

## General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.


| Question <br> number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 ( b )}$ | Award 1 mark for explanation and 1 mark for development. |  |
| To allow costs to be predicted for the actual level of activity that occurs (1) <br> This will give a meaningful comparison of actual costs with (flexed) <br> budgets(1) | (2) |  |


| Question <br> number |  | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 ( c )}$ | Award up to 2 marks for definition. Award 1 mark for <br> identification of example. Award 1 mark for development. <br> The principal budget factor is the factor that determines or limits <br> (1) the budget or activity level of all other factors (1). The supply <br> or demand of a factor determines if it is the principal budget factor <br> (1). <br> If a company is limited to producing 1,000 units a month because <br> of the available labour force(1) that will be the principal budget <br> factor limiting all the other factors, e.g. sales levels and material <br> requirement (1) | $\mathbf{4}$ |


| Question number | Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(a)(i) | Award 1 mark for all entries, correct, on debit side. <br> Award 1 mark for all entries, correct, on credit side. <br> Award 1 mark for correct calculation of WIP on credit side. | (3) |


| Question number | Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(a)(ii) | Award 1 mark for all entries, correct on debit side. Award 1 mark for all entries, correct, on debit side. | (2) |



| Question number | Answer |  |  |  | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(a)(iv) | Award 1 mark for entries on debit side (allow OF for Mats control). Award 1 mark for all entries on credit side (excluding FG control). Award 1 mark for of calculation of FG control on credit side. |  |  |  | (3) |


| Question number | Answer |  |  |  | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(a)(v) | Award 1 mark for all correct debit entries (allow OF for WIP control). Award 1 mark for all correct credit entries, (excl Prod cost of sales). Award 1 mark for correct Prod cost of sales, on credit side. |  |  |  | (3) |


| Question number | Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(a)(vi) | Financial Ledger Control Account |  |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{lclc}\text { Sales } \quad 946,2501 & \text { Balance b/d } & 180,810 \\ & & \text { Raw mats control } & 535,600 \\ & \text { Wages control } & 224,880 \text { of }\end{array}\right\} \mathbf{1}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{rlrl}\text { Balance } \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{W} 1) \\ 1,158,880 \\ 105,130 & \text { of } & \begin{array}{l}112,990 \\ 1,105,130\end{array}\end{array}$ |  |
|  | W1 Balance $=$ <br> \$90,700 + W I P 22,215 + FG 48,235 - Prod o/h 2,270 = \$158,880 of <br> W2 |  |
|  | Sales 946,250 less Production cost of sales 833,260 = Profit \$112 | (4) |


| Question <br> number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2(b) | Integrated accounts are a set of accounting records that provide both financial and <br> cost accounts (1) using a common input of data (1) | (2) |


| Question <br> number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2(b) | Non-integrated accounts are a system where the cost accounts are distinct from the <br> financial accounts (1). The two sets of accounts are kept in agreement by the use of <br> controls accounts (1) | (2) |

Total for question 2 = 22 marks

| Question number | Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3(a) | Workings: 3,000 units of Exe $\times 4$ hours $=12,000 ; 2,500$ units of Whye $\times 5$ hours $=12,500$; and 2,000 units of Zed $\times 3$ hours $=6,000$. <br> This equals $\mathbf{3 0 , 5 0 0}$ (1) direct labour hours <br> Overheads $=\$ 341400 / 30500=\$ 11.19$ per labour hour $\quad$ 1of <br> Workings: Exe $=4$ labour hours $\times \$ 11.19=\$ 44.76$; Whye $=5 \times \$ 11.19=$ $\$ 55.95$; Zed $=3 \times \$ 11.19=\$ 33.57$ |  |


| Question number | Answer |  |  |  | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3b |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Inspection/Set up } \quad \$ 99600 / 600(1)=\$ 166 \text { per production run (1) } \\ \text { Exe }=\$ 166 \times 150=\$ 24900 / 3000 \text { units }=\$ 8.30 \text { per unit } \\ \text { Whye }=\$ 166 \times 200=\$ 33200 / 2500 \text { units }=\$ 13.28 \text { per unit } \\ \text { Zed }=\$ 166 \times 250=\$ 41500 / 2000 \text { units }=\$ 20.75 \text { per unit } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machining $\quad \$ 93100 / 24500(1)=\$ 3.80$ per machine hour (1) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machine hours $=2.5 \times 3000+4 \times 2500+3.5 \times 2000=\mathbf{2 4 , 5 0 0}$ <br> Exe $=2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{c}$ hrs $\times \$ 3.80=\$ 9.50$ Whye $=4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{chrs}=\$ 15.20$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\text { Zed }=3.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{chrs}=\$ 13.30$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Packaging $\quad \$ 49500 / 550(1)=\$ 90$ per order (1) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exe $=\$ 90 \times 150=\$ 13500 / 3000$ units $=\$ 4.50$ per unit Whye $=\$ 90 \times 175=\$ 15750 / 2500$ units $=\$ 6.30$ per unit |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Zed $=\$ 90 \times 225=\$ 20500 / 2000$ units $=\$ 10.12$ per unit |  |  |  |  |
|  | Material handling \$99 200/31000(1). |  | 3.20 per kg | used (1) |  |
|  | Material quantity: $(3,000 \times 5 \mathrm{~kg}) 15,000+(2,500 \times 4 \mathrm{~kg}) 10,000+(2,000 \times$ 3 kg ) $6,000=31,000$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exe $=5 \mathrm{~kg} \times \$ 3.20=\$ 16.00$ Whye $=4 \mathrm{~kg} \times \$ 3.20=\$ 12.80$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prod overhead cost per unit |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Exe | Whye | Zed |  |
|  | Inspection / set- up costs | 8.30 | 13.28 | 20.75 |  |
|  | Machinery costs | 9.50 | 15.20 | 13.301 |  |
|  | Packaging | 4.50 | 6.30 | 10.121 |  |
|  | Material handling | 16.00 | 12.80 | 9.601 |  |
|  | Overheads (sub total) | 38.30 of | 47.58 of | 53.77 of |  |
|  |  | Exe | Whye | Zed |  |
|  | Direct Materials | 60.00 | 48.00 | 36.00 |  |
|  | Direct Labour | 56.00 | 70.00 | 42.00 |  |
|  | Overheads | 38.30 | 47.58 | 53.77 |  |
|  | TOTAL 1of | 154.30 of | 165.58 | 1of 131. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | (14) |


| Question <br> number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3(c) | Award up to 4 marks for analysis points. Award up to 2 marks for <br> conclusion. Answers may include: |  |
|  | Not all costs are able to be related to e.g. labour activity (1) so the use of <br> absorption costing may not be appropriate (1). <br> With ABC costs are allocated on a discreet usage basis. Products that use <br> more of an activity are charged a higher proportion of the overall cost (1) <br> e.g. product Zed has the highest number of orders and should therefore <br> be allocated the greatest proportion of packaging costs. (1) | Products made in smaller batches (i.e. Zed) cause an increase in costs (1) <br> and should therefore be charged more (pro rata) using ABC, than those <br> made in larger batches (1) |
| Using absorption costing, products Exe and Whye are subsidising <br> product Zed (1). The overheads for Exe, Whye, and Zed are $\$ 44.76$, <br> $\$ 55.95$, and $\$ 33.57$. Using the ABC the overhead costs are $\$ 38.30$, <br> $\$ 47.58$, and $\$ 53.78$. It can be seen that product Zed has now been <br> charged with a more appropriate cost. (1) | Conclusion <br> Activity- based costing builds up a more realistic allocation of costs (1) <br> an advantage of which could be, e.g., that a more accurate selling price <br> can be calculated for specific products (1) | (6) |

Total for question 3 = 26 marks

| Question number | Answer |  |  |  | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4(a) | Award 1 mark for <br> Cost centre overhead <br> Total overheads Machine hours Direct labour hours | rect overhe <br> ption rates $\begin{gathered} \text { Assembly } \\ 255,000 \\ 15000 \\ \hline \$ 17.001 \end{gathered}$ <br> Per m/chr | absorption r $\begin{aligned} & \text { Finishing } \\ & 292,500 \\ & 15000 \\ & \hline \$ 19.501 \end{aligned}$ <br> per m/c hour | te. <br> Testing <br> 200,000 $\frac{10000}{\$ 20.001}$ <br> per direct labour hour | 3 |


| Question number | Answer |  |  |  | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4(b) | Award 1 mark for each correct overhead absorbed. Award 1 mark for each overhead incurred including correct identification. <br> Calculation of over/under absorption: |  |  |  |  |


| Question <br> number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4 ( c ) ( i )}$ | Allocation is the charging of a whole item of cost to a cost centre (1) | (1) |


| Question <br> number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4 ( c ) ( i i )}$ | Apportionment is the sharing of overheads between two or more <br> cost centres (1) | (1) |


| Question <br> number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4(c)(iii) | Absorption is a method of charging overheads to a product or service | (1) | (1)


| Question <br> number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4 ( c ) ( i v )}$ | Under absorption is when insufficient overheads are charged to a <br> product or service (1) | (1) |

Total for question 4 = 13 marks

| Question <br> number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 ( a ) ( i )}$ | Net present value is the conversion of future cash flows into present-day <br> values (1) which shows the discounted value of the investment/project (1) | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ |


| Question <br> number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 ( a ) ( \text { ii) }}$ | Internal rate of return estimates the interest rate/cost of capital (1) at <br> which the discounted cash flow is zero (1) | (4) |


| Question number | Answer |  |  |  | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(b)(i) | Net present value - 10\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machine A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cash flow } \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | Factor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Present value } \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | (560) | 1.000 | (560.00) 1 |  |
|  |  | 120 | 0.909 | 109.08 |  |
|  | 2 | 260 | 0.826 | 214.76 |  |
|  | 3 | 200 | 0.751 | 150.201 |  |
|  | 4 | 220 * | 0.683 | 150.261 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 64.30 |  |
|  | $(130+60+30)$ |  | NPV | $=\$ 64,3001$ | (4) |


| Question number | Answer |  |  |  | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(b)(ii) | Internal rate of return - 15\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machine A |  |  |  |  |
|  | Year | Cash flow | Factor | Present value |  |
|  |  | £000 |  | £000 |  |
|  | 0 | (560) | 1.000 | (560.00) |  |
|  | 1 | 120 | 0.870 | 104.40 |  |
|  | 2 | 260 | 0.756 | 196.56 |  |
|  | 3 | 200 | 0.658 | 131.60 |  |
|  | 4 | 220 | 0.572 | 125.84 1of |  |
|  |  |  |  | (1.60) 1of |  |
|  | IRR for Mac | $\text { ine } A=10 \%$ | $+\{5 \% \times$ | $64.30 \div(64.30+1.60)]\} \mathbf{1}=14.88 \% 1$ |  |
|  | Award 1 ma | for each | art calcul | ation of the IRR. | (4) |



| Question <br> Number | Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5(c) | Award up to 4 marks for analysis. Award 2 marks for <br> evaluation. <br> Answers may include: <br> Case for Machine A <br> Machine A has a lower capital cost (1) higher IRR (1) and a <br> shorter payback period (1). <br> Case for Machine B <br> Machine B has a higher net present value (1). <br> We need more information on B to be able to make a valid <br> judgement (1). <br> Conclusion <br> Figures for costs and revenues are only estimates (1) <br> Machine A or B could be selected (1) - if conclusion follows from <br> argument above. |  |

Total for question 5 = 21 marks
TOTAL FOR PAPER = 100 MARKS

